



**PATENT APPLICATION**  
**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q67950

Yosihidie MURAKAMI, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/028,914

Group Art Unit: 1771

Confirmation No.: 2679

Examiner: Victor s. Chang

Filed: December 28, 2001

For: SUBSTRATE FILM FOR ADHESIVE SHEET AND ADHESIVE SHEET USING THE  
SAME

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

*Do not enter*

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*VSC*

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated October 3, 2003, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration in view of the remarks herein below, Terminal Disclaimer filed concurrently herewith and pages 800-20 to 800-21 from the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) discussing statutory double patenting under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

The claims under consideration are claims 1-9, 17 and 18.

In paragraph 4 of the Office, Claims 1, 2, and 4 through 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as claiming the same invention as claims 4-7 of prior U.S. Patent 6,372,339. In particular, the Examiner submits that claim 1 of the present invention pertains to the same subject matter as claim 4 of the parent patent.

With reference to pages 800-20 to 800-21 from the MPEP, 35 U.S.C. § 101 prevents two patents from issuing on the same invention. "Same invention" means identical subject matter.